0 / 26 / 05

PTO/SB/30 (09<sup>‡</sup>04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

REQUEST FOR CONTINUED	Serial No.	09/681,762	
EXAMINATION (RCE) TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	06/01/2001	
ddress to:	First Named Inventor	Sean M. McCullough	
lail Stop: RCE (**) ommissioner for Patents	Group Art Unit:	2178	
O. Box 1450 2 5 2005	Examiner Name	Stork, Kyle R.	
lexandria, VA 22313-1450	Atty. Docket No.	VIGN1230-1	

This is a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 C-F/B/\$ 1.114 of the above-identified application. Request for Continued Examination (RCE) practice under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to any utility or plant application filed prior to June 8, 1995, or to any design application. See Instruction Sheet for RCEs (not to be submitted to the USPTO) on page 2. Submission required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 a. Previously submitted Consider the amendment (s)/reply under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 filed on (Any unentered amendment(s) referred to above will be entered). Consider the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply Brief previously filed on iii. Other b. X Enclosed **Preliminary Amendment** Affidavit(s)/Declaration(s) ii. Information Disclosure Statement Reply to Office Action Dated 03/25/05; Extension of Time; **Examiner Interview Summary** iv. 🛛 Other 2. Miscellaneous a. Suspension of action on the above-identified application is requested under 37 C.F.R. § 1.103(c) for months. (Period of suspension shall not exceed 3 months; Fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i) required) Other Fees The RCE fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e) is required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 when the RCE is filed. a. X The Director is hereby authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-3183 for the pendancy of this application. RCE fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e) 冈 Additional Claims Fee Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 ii. Fee for 1-mo extension of time iii. Ø Other Check in the amount of \$ is enclosed. Authorized to deduct \$960.00 From Deposit Account 50-3183 of Sprinkle IP Law Group. RE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY OR AGENT REQUIRED Signature Date July 25, 2005 Name (print/type) Ari G. Akmal Registration No. 51,388 CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail No.EV704313635US to Addressee in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop: RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. Signature Name (Print/Type) Kulie H. Blackard Date July 25, 2005



**Commissioner for Patents** 

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

P.O. Box 1450

Dear Sir:

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## **Examiner Interview Summary**

Atty. Docket No. VIGN1230-1

•			•			
Λ	~	~		$\sim$	-	to
~	u	U	н	ca	11	13

Sean M. McCullough, et al.

Application No. **09/681,762** 

Date Filed **06/01/2001** 

Title

Metadata, Models, Visualization and Control

Group Art Unit **2178** 

Examiner Stork, Kyle R.

**Confirmation Number:** 

7625

Certificate of Mailing Under 37 C.F.R. §1.10

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as Express Mail to Addressee (Label No. **EV704313635US**) in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Page 18, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22312-

1450 on July 25, 2005.

Signature

Julie H. Blackard Printed Name

This paper is to summarize the interview conducted with Examiner Kyle R. Stork on July 18, 2005 with Applicants' representatives including Messrs. Sprinkle, Akmal and Loomis.

## **Summary**

On July 18, 2005, Messrs. Steve Sprinkle, Ari Akmal and Paul Loomis, held a telephone interview with Examiner Kyle R. Stork. During the interview, the prior art cited in the Office Action dated 03/25/05, namely United States Patent No. 6,732,331 ("Alexander",) was considered. No additional prior art was discussed.

Applicants' representatives and Examiner Stork discussed claim 1 of the 09/681,762 patent application. Specifically, the Applicants' representatives and the Examiner discussed the Alexander reference as compared to the claimed invention and that the Alexander reference does not disclose displaying rendered elements in conjunction with target documents. Examiner Stork agreed that he would review the Applicants' next response in light of the interview.

The Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge any fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 50-3183 of Sprinkle IP Law Group.

Respectfully submitted,

Sprinkle IP Law Group Attorneys for Applicant

Ari G. Akmal

Reg. No. 51,388

Date: July 25, 2005

1301 W. 25th Street, Suite 408

Austin, TX 78705 Tel. (512) 637-9223 Fax. (512) 371-9088